<b>(</b>	
Good Governance Institute	

## A MATRIX TO SUPPORT BETTER RISK SENSITIVITY IN DECISION TAKING **RISK APPETITE FOR NHS ORGANISATIONS**

TO USE THE MATRIX: IDENTIFY WITH A CIRCLE THE LEVEL YOU BELIEVE YOUR ORGANISATION HAS REACHED

Reputation Quality/Outcomes regulatory Compliance/ Financial/VFM **Risk levels** Innovation/ Key elements a key objective. We are only willing to accept the low cost that could lead to scrutiny of, decision taking authority. oversight with limited devolved management controls and protect, rather than to create objectives – aim to maintain or Defensive approach to even unsuccessfully. which could be challenged concern. option as VfM is the primary Avoidance of financial loss is Organisational objective Avoid 0 No tolerance for any decisions General avoidance of systems, or innovate. Priority for tight Play safe, avoid anything uncertainty is a Key AND THEN DRAW AN ARROW TO THE RIGHT TO THE LEVEL YOU INTEND TO REACH IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS. 0 - 6 technology developments. Avoidance of risk and operations. systems / technology management. Only essential Want to be very sure we would limited to those events where developments to protect current authority held by senior elsewhere. Decision making unless essential or commonplace Innovations always avoided breached compliances. situations elsewhere have not win any challenge. Similar VfM is the primary concern. loss if essential. possibility of very limited financial Only prepared to accept the innerent risk and only for ultra-sate delivery options Minimal (ALARP) Tolerance for risk taking limited reward potential that have a low degree of possible) Preference for as little as reasonably -----/ technology developments authority generally held by Limited tolerance for sticking but willing to consider other of some limited financial loss. a low degree of inherent N limited to those events where operations. to protection of current limited to improvements senior management. Systems practice avoided unless really status quo, innovations in any challenge. reasonably sure we would win our neck out. Want to be to existing commitments. Resources generally restricted benefits or constraints. VfM still the primary concern Prepared to accept possibility delivery options that have Iolerance for risk taking necessary. Decision making Tendency to stick to the limited potential for Preterence for safe Cautious Open enable operational delivery developments used routinely to Systems / technology Challenge would be and minimise the possibility of and choose while also with potential to expose the Appetite to take decisions decisions may be devolved. Responsibility for non-critical in management control. commensurate improvements with demonstration of Innovation supported win it and the gain will outweigh problematic but we are likely to (not just cheapest price). financial loss by managing the Prepared to invest for return ω the adverse consequences. capitalise on opportunities Resources allocated in order to Value and benefits considered risks to a tolerable level level of reward (and VfM) potential delivery options Willing to consider all Seek are real and consequences that are likely to bring scrutiny operational delivery. challenge current working to 'break the mould' and Innovation pursued – desire would be a great coup. would be significant. A win Chances of losing any challenge inherent risk). 4 Willingness to take decisions trust rather than tight control authority – management by High levels of devolved viewed as a key enabler of practices. New technologies approach. 'investment capital' type firm guarantee of return -Resources allocated without (with controls may in place) possibility of financial loss return and accept the Investing for the best possible potentially higher business to choose options offering Eager to be innovative and Consistently pushing back in communications has built current working practices. mould' and challenging on regulatory burden. Front stakeholders. Resources because controls, Confident in setting high Track record and investment practice than tight control is standard management by trust rather delivery. Devolved authority as catalyst for operational Investment in new technologies consistently 'breaking the Innovation the priority – regulation. toot approach informs better return in itself. contidence that process is a allocated in 'social capital' with the best possible return for Consistently focussed on are robust responsiveness systems forward scanning and Mature S

'Good is only good until you find better' – Maturity Matrices <sup>©</sup> are produced under licence form the Benchmarking Institute. Published by and © GGI Limited Old Horsmans, Sedlescombe, near Battle, East Sussex TN33 ORL UK. ISBN 978-1-907610-12-7

APPETITE

NONE

LOW

MODERATE

HIGH

SIGNIFICANT

significant repercussion for the organisation. Senior management distance themselves from chance of exposure to attention.

any undue interest.

there is little chance of any significant repercussion for the organisation should there be a failure. Mitigations in place for

reputation

scrutiny/interest. Prospective management of organisation's

organisation to additional

of the organisation but where

confidence by public, press

potential benefits outweigh the risks. New ideas seen as potentially enhancing reputation of organisation.

and politicians that organisation will take the difficult decisions for the right reasons with benefits outweighing the risks.

or indeed attention to, the organisation. External interest in the organisation viewed with

there is no chance of any

concern.

www.good-governance.org.uk

A case study to test risk appetite	<b>Option 1:</b> A strategic approach
Telehealth	A major investment to ensure implementation of comprehensive new care pathways for COPD, heart failure and diabetes. The
Telehealth is increasingly being used by healthcare providers as a patient-focussed means of supporting patients living with one, or more, long-term condition maintain their health and wellbeing.	purchaser and healthcare provider will redesign the care pathways and within two years will be maintaining 1,500+ patients with telehealth support. The project will commence in April 2020 with the recruitment of community nurses working alongside hospital
Headline findings	consultants and GPs to identify patients. The new telehealth-supported care pathways will provide enhanced support
There is a significant evidence base for the impact of remote care (c. 15,000 papers in refereed journals), and political support for its adoption from successive UK governments.	to patients, reduce follow-ups and emergency admissions and improve mortality. Funding will require economies elsewhere but significant longer-term savings are predicted.
Evidence suggests that, if used correctly, telehealth can deliver a	Option 2: A substantial investment
admissions, a 14% reduction in elective admissions, a 14% reduction in territy of the second	The purchaser will provide support to the healthcare provider in implementing a new heart failure pathway and deployment of telehealth to around 1,000 patients over three years. The aim is to
We need to assess our risk appetite for a local programme of telehealth and have three options.	reduce outpatient follow-ups in the acute setting, emergency admissions and where appropriate, facilitate early discharge. Funding is significant but is managed as a risk share between the
The enclosed risk appetite matrix sets out levels of risk appetite for Finance, Quality, Regulation and Reputation. There is no new	purchaser and the healthcare provider working on demand management together.
money for this programme and so investment will increase our new to fin economies elsewhere.	Option 3: A modest approach
What is our risk appetite for investment? Which of the three options will we choose? What controls and assurances will we need to give us confidence that our risk tolerance will not be exceeded?	Provide community nursing support through a modest sector investment to save budget to a local GP Group Practice to support 100 patients with COPD, heart failure and diabetes. We will audit the impact of deployment at practice level and share with other

practices across the purchaser area.